

The adjoint operator in the freealg package

Robin K. S. Hankin
Auckland University of Technology

Abstract

In this very short document I discuss the adjoint operator `ad()` and illustrate some of its properties.

Keywords: Adjoint operator, free algebra.



```
> ad

function (x)
{
  function(y) {
    jj <- new("dot")
    return(jj[x, y])
  }
}
<bytecode: 0x563de62fe018>
<environment: namespace:freealg>
```

The adjoint operator: definition

An associative algebra \mathcal{A} and $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$, we define the *Lie Bracket* $[X, Y]$ as $XY - YX$. In the `freealg` package this is implemented with the `.[]` construction:

```
> X <- as.freealg("X")
> Y <- as.freealg("Y")
> .[X, Y]

free algebra element algebraically equal to
- 1*YX + 1*XY
```

The Jacobi identity

The Lie bracket is bilinear and satisfies the Jacobi condition:

```
> X <- rfalg(3)
> Y <- rfalg(3)
> Z <- rfalg(3)
> X # Y and Z are similar objects

free algebra element algebraically equal to
+ 1*c + 2*cab + 3*cccb

> .[X,Y] # quite complicated

free algebra element algebraically equal to
- 1*bbcac - 2*bbcacab - 3*bbcacccb + 2*cabbca + 4*cabca + 6*cabcb - 2*cac -
4*cacab - 6*cacccb + 1*cbbca - 3*cbc - 6*cbcab - 9*cbccb + 2*cca + 3*ccb +
3*cccbba + 6*cccbca + 9*cccbc

> .[X,.[Y,Z]] + .[Y,.[Z,X]] + .[Z,.[X,Y]] # Zero by Jacobi

free algebra element algebraically equal to
0
```

The adjoint: definition

Now we define the adjoint as follows. Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and $X \in \mathcal{A}$, we define a linear map $\text{ad}_X: \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ with

$$\text{ad}_X(Y) = [X, Y]$$

In the `freealg` package, this is implemented using the `ad()` function:

```
> ad(X)

function (y)
{
  jj <- new("dot")
  return(jj[x, y])
}
<bytecode: 0x563de62fdb10>
<environment: 0x563de216d850>
```

See how function `ad()` returns a *function*. We can play with this:

```
> f <- ad(X)
> f(Y)
```

```

free algebra element algebraically equal to
- 1*bbcac - 2*bbcacab - 3*bbcaccb + 2*cabbca + 4*cabca + 6*cabcb - 2*cac -
4*cacab - 6*cacccb + 1*cbbca - 3*cbc - 6*cbcab - 9*cbccb + 2*cca + 3*ccb +
3*cccbba + 6*cccbca + 9*cccbcb

> f(Y) == X*Y-Y*X

[1] TRUE

```

The first thing to note is that ad_X is NOT a Lie homomorphism. If ϕ is a Lie homomorphism then $\phi([x, y]) = [\phi(x), \phi(y)]$. There is no reason to expect the adjoint to be a Lie homomorphism, but it does not hurt to check:

```

> phi <- ad(Z)
> phi(. [X, Y]) == . [phi(X), phi(Y)]

[1] FALSE

```

With this definition, it is easy to calculate, say, $[Z, [Z, [Z, [Z, [Z, X]]]]]$:

```

> f <- ad(as.freealg("x"))
> f(f(f(f(f(as.freealg("y")))))))

free algebra element algebraically equal to
+ 1*xxxxxy - 5*xxxxyx + 10*xxxxyx - 10*xyxxxx + 5*xyxxxx - 1*yxxxxx

```

The adjoint operator is a derivation

A *derivation* of a Lie bracket is a function $\phi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ that satisfies

$$\phi([Y, Z]) = [\phi(Y), Z] + [Y, \phi(Z)].$$

We will verify that ad_X is indeed a derivation:

```

> phi <- ad(X)
> phi(. [Y, Z]) == . [phi(Y), Z] + . [Y, phi(Z)]

[1] TRUE

```

The adjoint operator $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Lie homomorphism

We are asserting that

$$\text{ad}_{[X, Y]} = [\text{ad}_X, \text{ad}_Y]$$

In package idiom we would have:

```
> ad(. [X, Y])(Z) == . [ad(X), ad(Y)](Z)
```

```
[1] TRUE
```

Observe that “`. [ad(X), ad(Y)]`” is a function:

```
> . [ad(X), ad(Y)]
```

```
function (z)
{
  i(j(z)) - j(i(z))
}
<environment: 0x563de661dc20>
```

which we evaluate (on the right hand side) at Z.

Adjoints in other contexts

Function `ad()` works in a more general context than the free algebra. For example, we might use it for matrices:

```
> f <- ad(matrix(c(4,6,2,3),2,2))
> M <- matrix(1:4,2,2)
> f(M)
```

```
[,1] [,2]
[1,] -14    9
[2,] -20   14
```

Affiliation:

Robin K. S. Hankin
Auckland University of Technology

E-mail: hankin.robin@gmail.com

