Package 'nlmixr2data'

January 31, 2024

```
Title Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models in Population PK/PD, Data
Version 2.0.9
Description Datasets for 'nlmixr2' and 'rxode2'. 'nlmixr2' is used for fitting and comparing
      nonlinear mixed-effects models in differential
      equations with flexible dosing information commonly seen in pharmacokinetics
      and pharmacodynamics (Almquist, Leander, and Jirstrand 2015
      <doi:10.1007/s10928-015-9409-1>). Differential equation solving is
      by compiled C code provided in the 'rxode2' package
      (Wang, Hallow, and James 2015 <doi:10.1002/psp4.12052>).
License GPL (>= 3)
Encoding UTF-8
RoxygenNote 7.2.3
Depends R (>= 2.10)
LazyData true
BugReports https://github.com/nlmixr2/nlmixr2data/issues/
URL https://nlmixr2.github.io/nlmixr2data/,
      https://github.com/nlmixr2/nlmixr2data/
NeedsCompilation no
Author Matthew Fidler [aut, cre] (<a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8538-6691">https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8538-6691</a>),
      Rik Schoemaker [ctb] (<a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7538-3005">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7538-3005</a>),
      Kyle Baron [ctb],
      Thierry Wendling [ctb],
      Ted Grasella [ctb],
      C Weil [ctb],
      Yaning Wang [ctb],
      R O'Reilly [ctb],
      David D'Argenio [ctb],
      Rodriguez-Vera [ctb],
      D Gaver [ctb],
      Yuan Xiong [ctb],
      Wenping Wang [aut]
```

Maintainer Matthew Fidler <matthew.fidler@gmail.com>

Bolus_1CPT

Repository CRAN

Date/Publication 2024-01-31 20:40:02 UTC

R topics documented:

Bolu	s_1CPT 1 Compartment Model Simulated Data from ACOP 2016	
Index		31
	wbcSim	29
	warfarin	
	Wang2007	
	theo_sd	
	theo_md	
	rats	
	pump	
	pheno_sd	
	Oral 2CPTMM	
	Oral_2CPT	
	Oral_1CPT	
	nimoData	
	metabolite	
	mavoglurant	
	invgaussian	
	Infusion_2CPTMM	
	Infusion_2CPT	
	Infusion_1CPTMM	9
	Infusion_1CPT	
	Bolus_2CPTMM	
	Bolus_2CPT	
	Bolus_1CPTMM	
	Bolus_1CPT	2

Description

This is a simulated dataset from the ACOP 2016 poster. All Datasets were simulated with the following methods.

Usage

Bolus_1CPT

Bolus_1CPT 3

Format

A data frame with 7,920 rows and 14 columns

ID Simulated Subject ID

TIME Simulated Time

DV Simulated Dependent Variable

LNDV Simulated log(Dependent Variable)

MDV Missing DV data item

AMT Dosing AMT

EVID NONMEM Event ID

DOSE Dose

V Individual Simulated Volume

CL Individual Clearance

SS Steady State

II Interdose Interval

SD Single Dose Flag

CMT Compartment

Details

Richly sampled profiles were simulated for 4 different dose levels (10, 30, 60 and 120 mg) of 30 subjects each as single dose (over 72h), multiple dose (4 daily doses), single and multiple dose combined, and steady state dosing, for a range of test models: 1- and 2-compartment disposition, with and without 1st order absorption, with either linear or Michaelis-Menten (MM) clearance(MM without steady state dosing). This provided a total of 42 test cases. All inter-individual variabilities (IIVs) were set at 30%, residual error at 20% and overlapping PK parameters were the same for all models. A similar set of models was previously used to compare NONMEM and Monolix4. Estimates of population parameters, standard errors for fixed-effect parameters, and run times were compared both for closed-form solutions and using ODEs. Additionally, a sparse data estimation situation was investigated where 500 datasets of 600 subjects each (150 per dose) were generated consisting of 4 random time point samples in 24 hours per subject, using a first-order absorption, 1-compartment disposition, linear elimination model.

Source

Schoemaker R, Xiong Y, Wilkins J, Laveille C, Wang W. nlmixr2: an open-source package for pharmacometric modelling in R. ACOP 2016

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

4 Bolus_1CPTMM

Bolus_1CPTMM

1 Compartment Model w/ Michaelis-Menten Elimination

Description

This is a simulated dataset from the ACOP 2016 poster. All Datasets were simulated with the following methods.

Usage

Bolus_1CPTMM

Format

A data frame with 7,920 rows and 14 columns

ID Simulated Subject ID

TIME Simulated Time

DV Simulated Dependent Variable

LNDV Simulated log(Dependent Variable)

MDV Missing DV data item

AMT Dosing AMT

EVID NONMEM Event ID

DOSE Dose

V Individual Simulated Volume

VM Individual Vm constant

KM Individual Km constant

SD Single Dose Flag

CMT Compartment

Details

Richly sampled profiles were simulated for 4 different dose levels (10, 30, 60 and 120 mg) of 30 subjects each as single dose (over 72h), multiple dose (4 daily doses), single and multiple dose combined, and steady state dosing, for a range of test models: 1- and 2-compartment disposition, with and without 1st order absorption, with either linear or Michaelis-Menten (MM) clearance(MM without steady state dosing). This provided a total of 42 test cases. All inter-individual variabilities (IIVs) were set at 30%, residual error at 20% and overlapping PK parameters were the same for all models. A similar set of models was previously used to compare NONMEM and Monolix4. Estimates of population parameters, standard errors for fixed-effect parameters, and run times were compared both for closed-form solutions and using ODEs. Additionally, a sparse data estimation situation was investigated where 500 datasets of 600 subjects each (150 per dose) were generated consisting of 4 random time point samples in 24 hours per subject, using a first-order absorption, 1-compartment disposition, linear elimination model.

Bolus_2CPT 5

Source

Schoemaker R, Xiong Y, Wilkins J, Laveille C, Wang W. nlmixr2: an open-source package for pharmacometric modelling in R. ACOP 2016

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

Bolus_2CPT

2 Compartment Model

Description

This is a simulated dataset from the ACOP 2016 poster. All Datasets were simulated with the following methods.

Usage

Bolus 2CPT

Format

A data frame with 7,920 rows and 16 columns

ID Simulated Subject ID

TIME Simulated Time

DV Simulated Dependent Variable

LNDV Simulated log(Dependent Variable)

MDV Missing DV data item

AMT Dosing AMT

EVID NONMEM Event ID

DOSE Dose

V1 Individual Central Compartment Volume

CL Individual Clearance

Q Individual Between Compartment Clearance

V2 Periperial Volume

SS Steady State Flag

II Interdose interval

SD Single Dose Flag

CMT Compartment Indicator

6 Bolus_2CPTMM

Details

Richly sampled profiles were simulated for 4 different dose levels (10, 30, 60 and 120 mg) of 30 subjects each as single dose (over 72h), multiple dose (4 daily doses), single and multiple dose combined, and steady state dosing, for a range of test models: 1- and 2-compartment disposition, with and without 1st order absorption, with either linear or Michaelis-Menten (MM) clearance(MM without steady state dosing). This provided a total of 42 test cases. All inter-individual variabilities (IIVs) were set at 30%, residual error at 20% and overlapping PK parameters were the same for all models. A similar set of models was previously used to compare NONMEM and Monolix4. Estimates of population parameters, standard errors for fixed-effect parameters, and run times were compared both for closed-form solutions and using ODEs. Additionally, a sparse data estimation situation was investigated where 500 datasets of 600 subjects each (150 per dose) were generated consisting of 4 random time point samples in 24 hours per subject, using a first-order absorption, 1-compartment disposition, linear elimination model.

Source

Schoemaker R, Xiong Y, Wilkins J, Laveille C, Wang W. nlmixr2: an open-source package for pharmacometric modelling in R. ACOP 2016

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

Bolus_2CPTMM

2 Compartment Model with Michaelis-Menten Clearance

Description

This is a simulated dataset from the ACOP 2016 poster. All Datasets were simulated with the following methods.

Usage

Bolus_2CPTMM

Format

A data frame with 7,920 rows and 15 columns

ID Simulated Subject ID

TIME Simulated Time

DV Simulated Dependent Variable

LNDV Simulated log(Dependent Variable)

MDV Missing DV data item

Infusion_1CPT 7

AMT Dosing AMT

EVID NONMEM Event ID

DOSE Dose

V Individual Central Compartment Volume

VM Individual Vmax

KM Individual Km

Q Individual Q

V2 Individual Peripheral Compartment Volume

SD Single Dose Flag

CMT Compartment Indicator

Details

Richly sampled profiles were simulated for 4 different dose levels (10, 30, 60 and 120 mg) of 30 subjects each as single dose (over 72h), multiple dose (4 daily doses), single and multiple dose combined, and steady state dosing, for a range of test models: 1- and 2-compartment disposition, with and without 1st order absorption, with either linear or Michaelis-Menten (MM) clearance(MM without steady state dosing). This provided a total of 42 test cases. All inter-individual variabilities (IIVs) were set at 30%, residual error at 20% and overlapping PK parameters were the same for all models. A similar set of models was previously used to compare NONMEM and Monolix4. Estimates of population parameters, standard errors for fixed-effect parameters, and run times were compared both for closed-form solutions and using ODEs. Additionally, a sparse data estimation situation was investigated where 500 datasets of 600 subjects each (150 per dose) were generated consisting of 4 random time point samples in 24 hours per subject, using a first-order absorption, 1-compartment disposition, linear elimination model.

Source

Schoemaker R, Xiong Y, Wilkins J, Laveille C, Wang W. nlmixr2: an open-source package for pharmacometric modelling in R. ACOP 2016

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

Infusion_1CPT

1 Compartment Model Simulated Data from ACOP 2016

Description

This is a simulated dataset from the ACOP 2016 poster. All Datasets were simulated with the following methods.

8 Infusion_1CPT

Usage

Infusion_1CPT

Format

A data frame with 7,920 rows and 14 columns

ID Simulated Subject ID

TIME Simulated Time

DV Simulated Dependent Variable

LNDV Simulated log(Dependent Variable)

MDV Missing DV data item

AMT Dosing AMT

EVID NONMEM Event ID

DOSE Dose

V Individual Simulated Volume

CL Individual Clearance

SS Steady State

II Interdose Interval

SD Single Dose Flag

RATE NONMEM Rate

CMT Compartment

Details

Richly sampled profiles were simulated for 4 different dose levels (10, 30, 60 and 120 mg) of 30 subjects each as single dose (over 72h), multiple dose (4 daily doses), single and multiple dose combined, and steady state dosing, for a range of test models: 1- and 2-compartment disposition, with and without 1st order absorption, with either linear or Michaelis-Menten (MM) clearance(MM without steady state dosing). This provided a total of 42 test cases. All inter-individual variabilities (IIVs) were set at 30%, residual error at 20% and overlapping PK parameters were the same for all models. A similar set of models was previously used to compare NONMEM and Monolix4. Estimates of population parameters, standard errors for fixed-effect parameters, and run times were compared both for closed-form solutions and using ODEs. Additionally, a sparse data estimation situation was investigated where 500 datasets of 600 subjects each (150 per dose) were generated consisting of 4 random time point samples in 24 hours per subject, using a first-order absorption, 1-compartment disposition, linear elimination model.

Source

Schoemaker R, Xiong Y, Wilkins J, Laveille C, Wang W. nlmixr2: an open-source package for pharmacometric modelling in R. ACOP 2016

Infusion_1CPTMM 9

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

Description

This is a simulated dataset from the ACOP 2016 poster. All Datasets were simulated with the following methods.

Usage

Infusion_1CPTMM

Format

A data frame with 7,920 rows and 14 columns

ID Simulated Subject ID

TIME Simulated Time

DV Simulated Dependent Variable

LNDV Simulated log(Dependent Variable)

MDV Missing DV data item

AMT Dosing AMT

EVID NONMEM Event ID

DOSE Dose

V Individual Simulated Volume

KM Individual Km constant

VM Individual Vm constant

SD Single Dose Flag

RATE NONMEM Rate

CMT Compartment

10 Infusion_2CPT

Details

Richly sampled profiles were simulated for 4 different dose levels (10, 30, 60 and 120 mg) of 30 subjects each as single dose (over 72h), multiple dose (4 daily doses), single and multiple dose combined, and steady state dosing, for a range of test models: 1- and 2-compartment disposition, with and without 1st order absorption, with either linear or Michaelis-Menten (MM) clearance(MM without steady state dosing). This provided a total of 42 test cases. All inter-individual variabilities (IIVs) were set at 30%, residual error at 20% and overlapping PK parameters were the same for all models. A similar set of models was previously used to compare NONMEM and Monolix4. Estimates of population parameters, standard errors for fixed-effect parameters, and run times were compared both for closed-form solutions and using ODEs. Additionally, a sparse data estimation situation was investigated where 500 datasets of 600 subjects each (150 per dose) were generated consisting of 4 random time point samples in 24 hours per subject, using a first-order absorption, 1-compartment disposition, linear elimination model.

Source

Schoemaker R, Xiong Y, Wilkins J, Laveille C, Wang W. nlmixr2: an open-source package for pharmacometric modelling in R. ACOP 2016

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

Infusion_2CPT

2 Compartment Model with Infusion Simulated Data from ACOP 2016

Description

This is a simulated dataset from the ACOP 2016 poster. All Datasets were simulated with the following methods.

Usage

Infusion_2CPT

Format

A data frame with 7,920 rows and 17 columns

ID Simulated Subject ID

TIME Simulated Time

DV Simulated Dependent Variable

LNDV Simulated log(Dependent Variable)

MDV Missing DV data item

Infusion_2CPT 11

AMT Dosing AMT

EVID NONMEM Event ID

DOSE Dose

V Individual Simulated Volume

CL Individual Clearance

Q Individual Inter-compartmental Clearance

V2 Individual Peripheral Volume

SS Steady State

RATE NONMEM Rate

II Interdose Interval

SD Single Dose Flag

CMT Compartment

Details

Richly sampled profiles were simulated for 4 different dose levels (10, 30, 60 and 120 mg) of 30 subjects each as single dose (over 72h), multiple dose (4 daily doses), single and multiple dose combined, and steady state dosing, for a range of test models: 1- and 2-compartment disposition, with and without 1st order absorption, with either linear or Michaelis-Menten (MM) clearance(MM without steady state dosing). This provided a total of 42 test cases. All inter-individual variabilities (IIVs) were set at 30%, residual error at 20% and overlapping PK parameters were the same for all models. A similar set of models was previously used to compare NONMEM and Monolix4. Estimates of population parameters, standard errors for fixed-effect parameters, and run times were compared both for closed-form solutions and using ODEs. Additionally, a sparse data estimation situation was investigated where 500 datasets of 600 subjects each (150 per dose) were generated consisting of 4 random time point samples in 24 hours per subject, using a first-order absorption, 1-compartment disposition, linear elimination model.

Source

Schoemaker R, Xiong Y, Wilkins J, Laveille C, Wang W. nlmixr2: an open-source package for pharmacometric modelling in R. ACOP 2016

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

12 Infusion_2CPTMM

Infusion_2CPTMM 2 Compartment Model w/MM elimination Simulated Data from ACOP 2016

Description

This is a simulated dataset from the ACOP 2016 poster. All Datasets were simulated with the following methods.

Usage

Infusion_2CPTMM

Format

A data frame with 7,920 rows and 14 columns

ID Simulated Subject ID

TIME Simulated Time

DV Simulated Dependent Variable

LNDV Simulated log(Dependent Variable)

MDV Missing DV data item

AMT Dosing AMT

EVID NONMEM Event ID

DOSE Dose

Q Individual Between Compartment Clearance

V Individual Simulated Volume

V2 Individual Peripheral Volume

KM Individual Km constant

VM Individual Vm constant

SD Single Dose Flag

RATE NONMEM Rate

CMT Compartment

Details

Richly sampled profiles were simulated for 4 different dose levels (10, 30, 60 and 120 mg) of 30 subjects each as single dose (over 72h), multiple dose (4 daily doses), single and multiple dose combined, and steady state dosing, for a range of test models: 1- and 2-compartment disposition, with and without 1st order absorption, with either linear or Michaelis-Menten (MM) clearance(MM without steady state dosing). This provided a total of 42 test cases. All inter-individual variabilities (IIVs) were set at 30%, residual error at 20% and overlapping PK parameters were the same for all models. A similar set of models was previously used to compare NONMEM and Monolix4.

invgaussian 13

Estimates of population parameters, standard errors for fixed-effect parameters, and run times were compared both for closed-form solutions and using ODEs. Additionally, a sparse data estimation situation was investigated where 500 datasets of 600 subjects each (150 per dose) were generated consisting of 4 random time point samples in 24 hours per subject, using a first-order absorption, 1-compartment disposition, linear elimination model.

Source

Schoemaker R, Xiong Y, Wilkins J, Laveille C, Wang W. nlmixr2: an open-source package for pharmacometric modelling in R. ACOP 2016

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

invgaussian

Inverse Guassian absorption model

Description

Inverse Guassian absorption model

Usage

invgaussian

Format

A data frame with 32 rows and 6 columns

time Time of observation

cp Concentration

Source

Figure 9.7 in D'Argenio DZ, Schumitzky A, and Wang X (2009). "ADAPT 5 User's Guide: Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic Systems Analysis Software".

14 mavoglurant

mavoglurant

Mavoglurant PK data

Description

This was used in a full PBPK model. This one was published for mavoglurant (Wendling et al. 2016).

Usage

mavoglurant

Format

A data frame with 2,678 rows by 14 columns

ID Subject ID

CMT Compartment Number

EVID Event ID

MDV Missing DV

DV Dependent Variable, Mavoglurant

AMT Dose Amount Keyword

TIME Time (hr)

DOSE Dose

OCC Occasion

RATE Rate

AGE Age

SEX Sex

WT Weight

HT Height

Source

Wendling et al. 2016

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

metabolite 15

metabolite

Parent/Metabolite dataset

Description

Parent/Metabolite dataset

Usage

metabolite

Format

A data frame with 32 rows and 6 columns

time Time of observation

y1 Parent Concentration

y2 Metabolite Concentration

Source

D'Argenio DZ, Schumitzky A, and Wang X (2009). "ADAPT 5 User's Guide: Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic Systems Analysis Software".

nimoData

Nimotuzumab PK data

Description

ID Subject ID

TIME Time (hrs)

AMT Dose Amount Keyword

RATE Rate

DV Dependent Variable, Nimotuzumab

TAD Time After Dose

CMT Compartment Number

OCC Occasion

MDV Missing DV

EVID Event ID

WGT Weight

BSA Body Surface Area

AGE Age

HGT Height

DOS Dose

16 nmtest

Usage

nimoData

Format

A data frame with 441 rows by 15 columns

Source

Rodriguez-Vera et al. 2015

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

nmtest

One compartment test dataset showing NONMEM 7.4.3 output

Description

This is a example dataset originally created to show how similar mrgsolve and NONMEM were (See).

Usage

nmtest

Format

A data frame with 7,157 rows and 15 columns

id NONMEM id

time NONMEM time

cp NONMEM cp output from 7.4.3

cmt cmt specification 1=depot, 2=central

amt Nonmem dose

evid NONMEM Event ID

ii Interdose Interval

ss Steady state flag

addl Individual Clearance

rate Rate of the infusion

Oral_1CPT 17

```
lagt Lag time
```

bioav Bioavailability

rat2 Modeled rate when mode == 1

dur2 Duration when mode == 2

mode Mode = 0 is no modification, modeled rate when mode=1 and modeled duration when mode=2

Details

The original dataset was created by Kyle Baron and is composed of id<100 the id>100 are modifications by Matthew Fidler to benchmark steady state infusions with lag times and other uncommon features.

Note that rxode2/nlmixr2 will not always match these behaviors by default, we choose behaviors that we believe make sense. There are options to make rxode2/nlmixr2 behave more like NONMEM. However behaviors we believe are wrong we do not support.

Author(s)

Kyle Baron & Matthew Fidler

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

Oral_1CPT

1 Compartment Model with Oral Absorption Simulated Data from ACOP 2016

Description

This is a simulated dataset from the ACOP 2016 poster. All Datasets were simulated with the following methods.

Usage

Oral_1CPT

18 Oral_1CPT

Format

A data frame with 7,920 rows and 15 columns

ID Simulated Subject ID

TIME Simulated Time

DV Simulated Dependent Variable

LNDV Simulated log(Dependent Variable)

MDV Missing DV data item

AMT Dosing AMT

EVID NONMEM Event ID

DOSE Dose

V Individual Simulated Volume

CL Individual Clearance

KA Individual Ka

SS Steady State

II Interdose Interval

SD Single Dose Flag

CMT Compartment

Details

Richly sampled profiles were simulated for 4 different dose levels (10, 30, 60 and 120 mg) of 30 subjects each as single dose (over 72h), multiple dose (4 daily doses), single and multiple dose combined, and steady state dosing, for a range of test models: 1- and 2-compartment disposition, with and without 1st order absorption, with either linear or Michaelis-Menten (MM) clearance(MM without steady state dosing). This provided a total of 42 test cases. All inter-individual variabilities (IIVs) were set at 30%, residual error at 20% and overlapping PK parameters were the same for all models. A similar set of models was previously used to compare NONMEM and Monolix4. Estimates of population parameters, standard errors for fixed-effect parameters, and run times were compared both for closed-form solutions and using ODEs. Additionally, a sparse data estimation situation was investigated where 500 datasets of 600 subjects each (150 per dose) were generated consisting of 4 random time point samples in 24 hours per subject, using a first-order absorption, 1-compartment disposition, linear elimination model.

Source

Schoemaker R, Xiong Y, Wilkins J, Laveille C, Wang W. nlmixr2: an open-source package for pharmacometric modelling in R. ACOP 2016

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

Oral_1CPTMM 19

Oral_1CPTMM	1 Compartment Model w/ Oral Absorption & Michaelis-Menten Elimination
-------------	---

Description

This is a simulated dataset from the ACOP 2016 poster. All Datasets were simulated with the following methods.

Usage

Oral_1CPTMM

Format

A data frame with 7,920 rows and 14 columns

ID Simulated Subject ID

TIME Simulated Time

DV Simulated Dependent Variable

LNDV Simulated log(Dependent Variable)

MDV Missing DV data item

AMT Dosing AMT

EVID NONMEM Event ID

DOSE Dose

KA Individual Absorption constant

V Individual Simulated Volume

VM Individual Vm constant

KM Individual Km constant

SD Single Dose Flag

CMT Compartment

Details

Richly sampled profiles were simulated for 4 different dose levels (10, 30, 60 and 120 mg) of 30 subjects each as single dose (over 72h), multiple dose (4 daily doses), single and multiple dose combined, and steady state dosing, for a range of test models: 1- and 2-compartment disposition, with and without 1st order absorption, with either linear or Michaelis-Menten (MM) clearance(MM without steady state dosing). This provided a total of 42 test cases. All inter-individual variabilities (IIVs) were set at 30%, residual error at 20% and overlapping PK parameters were the same for all models. A similar set of models was previously used to compare NONMEM and Monolix4. Estimates of population parameters, standard errors for fixed-effect parameters, and run times were compared both for closed-form solutions and using ODEs. Additionally, a sparse data estimation situation was investigated where 500 datasets of 600 subjects each (150 per dose) were generated consisting of 4 random time point samples in 24 hours per subject, using a first-order absorption, 1-compartment disposition, linear elimination model.

20 Oral_2CPT

Source

Schoemaker R, Xiong Y, Wilkins J, Laveille C, Wang W. nlmixr2: an open-source package for pharmacometric modelling in R. ACOP 2016

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

Oral_2CPT

2 Compartment Model with Oral Absorption Simulated Data from ACOP 2016

Description

This is a simulated dataset from the ACOP 2016 poster. All Datasets were simulated with the following methods.

Usage

Oral_2CPT

Format

A data frame with 7,920 rows and 15 columns

ID Simulated Subject ID

TIME Simulated Time

DV Simulated Dependent Variable

LNDV Simulated log(Dependent Variable)

MDV Missing DV data item

AMT Dosing AMT

EVID NONMEM Event ID

DOSE Dose

Q Individual Inter-compartmental Clearance

V1 Individual Simulated Volume

V2 Individual Simulated Peripheral Volume

CL Individual Clearance

KA Individual Ka

SS Steady State

II Interdose Interval

SD Single Dose Flag

CMT Compartment

Oral_2CPTMM 21

Details

Richly sampled profiles were simulated for 4 different dose levels (10, 30, 60 and 120 mg) of 30 subjects each as single dose (over 72h), multiple dose (4 daily doses), single and multiple dose combined, and steady state dosing, for a range of test models: 1- and 2-compartment disposition, with and without 1st order absorption, with either linear or Michaelis-Menten (MM) clearance(MM without steady state dosing). This provided a total of 42 test cases. All inter-individual variabilities (IIVs) were set at 30%, residual error at 20% and overlapping PK parameters were the same for all models. A similar set of models was previously used to compare NONMEM and Monolix4. Estimates of population parameters, standard errors for fixed-effect parameters, and run times were compared both for closed-form solutions and using ODEs. Additionally, a sparse data estimation situation was investigated where 500 datasets of 600 subjects each (150 per dose) were generated consisting of 4 random time point samples in 24 hours per subject, using a first-order absorption, 1-compartment disposition, linear elimination model.

Source

Schoemaker R, Xiong Y, Wilkins J, Laveille C, Wang W. nlmixr2: an open-source package for pharmacometric modelling in R. ACOP 2016

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

Oral_2CPTMM 1 Compartment Model w/ Oral Absorption & Michaelis-Menten Elinination	Elim-
---	-------

Description

This is a simulated dataset from the ACOP 2016 poster. All Datasets were simulated with the following methods.

Usage

Oral_2CPTMM

Format

A data frame with 7,920 rows and 14 columns

ID Simulated Subject ID

TIME Simulated Time

DV Simulated Dependent Variable

22 Oral_2CPTMM

LNDV Simulated log(Dependent Variable)

MDV Missing DV data item

AMT Dosing AMT

EVID NONMEM Event ID

DOSE Dose

KA Individual Absorption constant

V1 Individual Simulated Volume

V2 Individual Simulated Perhipheral Volume

Q Individual Inter-compartmental Clearance

VM Individual Vm constant

KM Individual Km constant

SD Single Dose Flag

CMT Compartment

Details

Richly sampled profiles were simulated for 4 different dose levels (10, 30, 60 and 120 mg) of 30 subjects each as single dose (over 72h), multiple dose (4 daily doses), single and multiple dose combined, and steady state dosing, for a range of test models: 1- and 2-compartment disposition, with and without 1st order absorption, with either linear or Michaelis-Menten (MM) clearance(MM without steady state dosing). This provided a total of 42 test cases. All inter-individual variabilities (IIVs) were set at 30%, residual error at 20% and overlapping PK parameters were the same for all models. A similar set of models was previously used to compare NONMEM and Monolix4. Estimates of population parameters, standard errors for fixed-effect parameters, and run times were compared both for closed-form solutions and using ODEs. Additionally, a sparse data estimation situation was investigated where 500 datasets of 600 subjects each (150 per dose) were generated consisting of 4 random time point samples in 24 hours per subject, using a first-order absorption, 1-compartment disposition, linear elimination model.

Source

Schoemaker R, Xiong Y, Wilkins J, Laveille C, Wang W. nlmixr2: an open-source package for pharmacometric modelling in R. ACOP 2016

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

pheno_sd 23

pheno_sd

Single Dose Phenobarbitol PK/PD

Description

This is from a PK study in neonatal infants. They received multiple doses of phenobarbital for seizure prevention.

Usage

pheno_sd

Format

A data frame with 744 rows and 8 columns

ID Infant ID

TIME Time (hr)

AMT Dose (ug/kg)

WT Weight (kg)

APGR A 5-minute Apgar score to measure infant health

DV The concentration of phenobarbitol in the serum (ug/mL)

MDV If the dependent variable (DV) is missing; 0 for observations, 1 for doses

EVID Event ID

Details

The data were originally given in Grasela and Donn(1985) and are analyzed in Boeckmann, Sheiner and Beal (1994), in Davidian and Giltinan (1995), and in Littell et al. (1996).

Source

Pinheiro, J. C. and Bates, D. M. (2000), Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS, Springer, New York. (Appendix A.23)

Davidian, M. and Giltinan, D. M. (1995), Nonlinear Models for Repeated Measurement Data, Chapman and Hall, London. (section 6.6)

Grasela and Donn (1985), Neonatal population pharmacokinetics of phenobarbital derived from routine clinical data, Developmental Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 8, 374-383.

Boeckmann, A. J., Sheiner, L. B., and Beal, S. L. (1994), NONMEM Users Guide: Part V, University of California, San Francisco.

Littell, R. C., Milliken, G. A., Stroup, W. W. and Wolfinger, R. D. (1996), SAS System for Mixed Models, SAS Institute, Cary, NC.

24 pump

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

pump

Pump failure example dataset

Description

The records the number of failures and operation time for groups of 10 pumps.

Usage

pump

Format

A data frame with 10 rows and 5 columns

- y Number of pump failures
- **t** Failure Time

group Continuous Operation (=1) or Intermittent Operation(=2)

ID ID for group of 10 pumps

logtstd Centered operation times

Source

 $https://support.sas.com/documentation/cdl/en/statug/63033/HTML/default/viewer.htm \#statug_nlmixed_sect040.htm$

References

Gaver, D. P. and O'Muircheartaigh, I. G. (1987), "Robust Empirical Bayes Analysis of Event Rates," Technometrics, 29, 1-15.

rats 25

rats

Pregnant Rat Diet Experiment

Description

16 pregnant rats have a control diet, and 16 have a chemically treated diet. The litter size for each rat is recorded after 4 and 21 days. This dataset is used in the SAS Probit-model with binomial data, and saved in the nlmixr2 package as rats.

Usage

rats

Format

A data frame with 32 rows and 6 columns

trt Treatment; c= control diet; t=treated diet

m Litter size after 4 days

x Litter size after 21 days

x1 Indicator for trt=c

x2 Indicator for trt=t

ID Rat ID

Source

https://support.sas.com/documentation/cdl/en/statug/63033/HTML/default/viewer.htm# statug_nlmixed_sect040.htm

References

Weil, C.S., 1970. Selection of the valid number of sampling units and a consideration of their combination in toxicological studies involving reproduction, teratogenesis or carcinogenesis. Fd. Cosmet. Toxicol. 8, 177-182.

Williams, D.A., 1975. The analysis of binary responses from toxicological experiments involving reproduction and teratogenicity. Biometrics 31, 949-952.

McCulloch, C. E. (1994), "Maximum Likelihood Variance Components Estimation for Binary Data," Journal of the American Statistical Association, 89, 330 - 335.

Ochi, Y. and Prentice, R. L. (1984), "Likelihood Inference in a Correlated Probit Regression Model," Biometrika, 71, 531-543.

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

26 theo_md

theo_md

Multiple dose theophylline PK data

Description

This data set starts with the day 1 concentrations of the theophylline data that is included in the nlme/NONMEM. After day 7 concentrations were simulated with once a day regimen for 7 days (QD).

Usage

 $theo_md$

Format

A data frame with 348 rows by 7 columns

ID Subject ID

TIME Time (hr)

DV Dependent Variable, theophylline concentration (mg/L)

AMT Dose Amount (kg)

EVID rxode2/nlmixr2 event ID (not NONMEM event IDs)

CMT Compartment number

WT Body weight (kg)

Source

NONMEM/nlme

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

theo_sd 27

theo_sd

Multiple dose theophylline PK data

Description

This data set is the day 1 concentrations of the theophylline data that is included in the nlme/NONMEM.

Usage

theo_sd

Format

A data frame with 144 rows by 7 columns

ID Subject ID

TIME Time (hr)

DV Dependent Variable, theophylline concentration (mg/L)

AMT Dose Amount (mg)

EVID rxode2/nlmixr2 event ID (not NONMEM event IDs)

CMT Compartment Number

WT Body weight (kg)

Source

NONMEM/nlme

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, warfarin, wbcSim

28 warfarin

Wang2007

Simulated Data Set for comparing objective functions

Description

This is a simulated dataset from Wang2007 where various NONMEM estimation methods (Laplace FO, FOCE with and without interaction) are described.

Usage

Wang2007

Format

A data frame with 20 rows and 3 columns

ID Simulated Subject ID

Time Simulated Time

Y Simulated Value

Source

Table 1 from Wang, Y *Derivation of Various NONMEM estimation methods*. J Pharmacokinet Pharmacodyn (2007) 34:575-593.

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin, wbcSim

warfarin

Warfarin PK/PD data

Description

Warfarin PK/PD data

Usage

warfarin

wbcSim 29

Format

```
A data frame with 519 rows and 9 columns
```

sex Sex (male or female, factor)

```
id Patient identifier (n=32)
time Time (h)
amt Total drug administered (mg)
dv Warfarin concentrations (mg/L) or PCA measurement
dvid Dependent identifier Information (cp: Dose or PK, pca: PCA, factor)
evid Event identifier
wt Weight (kg)
age Age (yr)
```

Source

Funaki T, Holford N, Fujita S (2018). Population PKPD analysis using nlmixr2 and NONMEM. PAGJA 2018

References

O'Reilly RA, Aggeler PM, Leong LS. Studies of the coumarin anticoagulant drugs: The pharmacodynamics of warfarin in man. Journal of Clinical Investigation 1963; 42(10): 1542-1551

O'Reilly RA, Aggeler PM. Studies on coumarin anticoagulant drugs Initiation of warfarin therapy without a loading dose. Circulation 1968; 38: 169-177.

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, wbcSim

wbcSim

Simulated Friberg Myelosuppression model (Yuan Xiong)

Description

ID Subject ID

TIME Time (hrs)

RATE Rate

AMT Dose Amount Keyword

DV Dependent Variable, WBC

CMT Compartment Number

30 wbcSim

V2I Input Peripheral Volume

V1I Input Central Volume

V1I Input Clearance

EVID nlmixr2/rxode2 classic evid

Usage

wbcSim

Format

An object of class data. frame with 280 rows and 10 columns.

Source

Simulated Data for WBC pac ddmore model

See Also

Other nlmixr2 datasets: Bolus_1CPTMM, Bolus_1CPT, Bolus_2CPTMM, Bolus_2CPT, Infusion_1CPTMM, Infusion_1CPT, Infusion_2CPTMM, Infusion_2CPT, Oral_1CPTMM, Oral_1CPT, Oral_2CPTMM, Oral_2CPT, Wang2007, mavoglurant, nimoData, nmtest, pheno_sd, rats, theo_md, theo_sd, warfarin

Index

* datasets	Oral_1CPTMM, 19
Bolus_1CPT, 2	Oral_2CPT, 20
Bolus_1CPTMM, 4	Oral_2CPTMM, 21
Bolus_2CPT, 5	pheno_sd, 23
Bolus_2CPTMM, 6	rats, 25
Infusion_1CPT, 7	theo_md, 26
Infusion_1CPTMM, 9	theo_sd, 27
Infusion_2CPT, 10	Wang2007, 28
Infusion_2CPTMM, 12	warfarin, 28
invgaussian, 13	wbcSim, 29
mavoglurant, 14	
metabolite, 15	Bolus_1CPT, 2, 5–7, 9–11, 13, 14, 16–18,
nimoData, 15	20–22, 24–30
nmtest, 16	Bolus_1CPTMM, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9–11, 13, 14, 16–18,
Oral_1CPT, 17	20–22, 24–30
Oral_1CPTMM, 19	Bolus_2CPT, 3, 5, 5, 7, 9–11, 13, 14, 16–18,
Oral_2CPT, 20	20–22, 24–30
Oral_2CPTMM, 21	Bolus_2CPTMM, 3, 5, 6, 6, 9–11, 13, 14, 16–18,
pheno_sd, 23	20–22, 24–30
pump, 24	Tafusian 10DT 2 5 7 7 10 11 12 14
rats, 25	Infusion_1CPT, 3, 5–7, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14,
	16–18, 20–22, 24–30
theo_md, 26	Infusion_1CPTMM, 3, 5-7, 9, 9, 11, 13, 14,
theo_sd, 27	16–18, 20–22, 24–30
Wang2007, 28	Infusion_2CPT, 3, 5–7, 9, 10, 10, 13, 14,
warfarin, 28	16–18, 20–22, 24–30
wbcSim, 29	Infusion_2CPTMM, 3, 5–7, 9–11, 12, 14,
* nlmixr2 datasets	16–18, 20–22, 24–30
Bolus_1CPT, 2	invgaussian, 13
Bolus_1CPTMM, 4	mavoglurant, <i>3</i> , <i>5</i> – <i>7</i> , <i>9</i> – <i>11</i> , <i>13</i> , 14, <i>16</i> – <i>18</i> ,
Bolus_2CPT, 5	20–22, 24–30
Bolus_2CPTMM, 6	metabolite, 15
Infusion_1CPT, 7	metabolite, 15
Infusion_1CPTMM, 9	nimoData, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18,
Infusion_2CPT, 10	20–22, 24–30
Infusion_2CPTMM, 12	nmtest, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 16, 16, 18,
mavoglurant, 14	20–22, 24–30
nimoData, 15	
nmtest, 16	Oral_1CPT, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 17,
Oral_1CPT, <u>17</u>	20–22, 24–30

32 INDEX

```
Oral_1CPTMM, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 16-18, 19,
         21, 22, 24–30
Oral_2CPT, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 16-18, 20,
         20, 22, 24–30
Oral_2CPTMM, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 16-18, 20,
         21, 21, 24–30
pheno_sd, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 16-18, 20-22,
         23, 25–30
pump, 24
rats, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 16-18, 20-22, 24,
         25, 26–30
theo_md, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 16-18, 20-22,
         24, 25, 26, 27–30
theo_sd, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 16-18, 20-22,
         24–26, 27, 28–30
Wang2007, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 16-18, 20-22,
         24–27, 28, 29, 30
```

warfarin, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 16-18, 20-22,

wbcSim, 3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 16-18, 20-22,

24–28, 28, 30

24–*29*, 29